AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

AGITATE! EDUCATE! ORGANIZE!

WEEKLY NEWS LETTER

The A. F. of L. Weekly News Letter contains a brief summary of important matters affecting labor, occurring in the industrial, legislative, and judicial fields, and such other information that will benefit the trade union movement.

Entered as second-class matter September 26, 1912, at the Post-office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 24, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 11, 1918.

VOL. 11. NO. 4. WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, APRIL 16, 1921. WHOLE NO. 524.

THE SPIRIT OF LIBERTY : : : : IS A NATION'S GREATEST ASSET.

Can't strike advocates talk of loss of wealth and suffering when workers quit their employment, but no mention is made of a greater loss when men are denied the right to strike.

Strikes are costly, but the loss of liberty is a thousand times more so.

Material loss, through strikes, is nothing compared to a nation's loss when it compels the victims of wrong to remain at their tasks.

The worker who is bludgeoned into silence against injustice is a liability. He may profess patriotism, but his song differs from the inspiring challenge of men who are actually free.

The can't-strike advocate is only interested in wealth, production and convenience. He worships before this trinity and is blind to his country's danger when its wage workers lose their democratic ideals.

Wealth and convenience are nothing to the worker who resents a life of serfdom, a tyrannic boss and unsatisfactory wages and working conditions. That worker puts freedom above all else.

To tell him he can not quit his employment, together with his fellow workers—that he can not strike—is to destroy his faith in Americanism's cardinals.

This loss to a nation can not be recompensed by wealth, or by production and the public's convenience and ease.

No nation can be great that destroys ideals, stifles hope and deadens the sense of justice.

Sympathy for the oppressed and resistance to wrong are characteristic of free men. They refuse to count cost in their fight for right.

In all ages this spirit has impelled men to resist injustice. This spirit has made possible the liberties now enjoyed by man.

Can't-strike advocates would destroy this spirit. They would make America's finest asset a national liability. They would change their country's inspiring battle cries to the bargain counter question, "What will it cost?"

They would remove every ideal, sympathetic instinct, daring aspiration and loyal impulse from men who would own their souls.

To perpetuate injustice they would debase the best ideal that lovers of liberty possess and would handcuff their country's defenders to the machine of production.

A CONFUSED PATRIOT.

Baltimore, April 16. — Andrew Furuseth, President of the International Seamen's union, Legislative Representative of the Seafarers in this city over the seamen's law, said:

"The opponent does not mean to argue in favor of foreign vessels, but simply wants to be done," replied Furuseth, who asks:

"Does he think it wrong for the United States to close the doors to the slave catchers for foreign ships owners, when the people of the United States declined some 60 years ago to be the slave catchers for each other? Does he really think that the United States ought to use its police power to Keep the wages of an English or a Norwegian ship, hiring coolies in India or China at the rate of from one-tenth to one-fifth of the wages paid on American vessels, and then be unwilling that such an English or Norwegian vessel shall be assisted to Keep out of our ports while she is carrying away freight that would otherwise go to American vessels?

"He says there are hundreds of American ships in American harbors. So there are Italian vessels, Greek vessels, and hundreds of millions of tons of shipping idle in the harbors. It is usually thought that that is the result of the war and of peace and not of the seamen's act."

ROADS VIOLATE LAW.

Chicago, April 16.—The New York Central railroad is another corporation that has been notified by the railway labor board that it must obey the law before it can secure wages. This concern, like others, would reduce wages and ignore section 301 of the Cummins-Esch law that the railroads so insistently demanded "to stop strikes." This section provides:

"All such disputes shall be considered and, if possible, decided in conference between representatives designated and authorized so to confer by the carriers and employes in the dispute. If any dispute is not decided in such conference it shall be the duty of the carrier or employes thereto to the board, which, under the provisions of this title, is authorized to hear and decide such dispute."

NEW LABOR INJUNCTION.

Minneapolis, April 16. — Judge Molyneaux seems to have made a new record in labor injunctions by ordering a meeting of the "Ford Wagon Drivers' Union to cease delivering milk." The writ states that the Standard Milk company declares in its "property" rights:

"The monthly wage paid employed by the company and was forced to sign an individual contract not to join a union while so employed or deliver milk on the routes to unorganized customers, milk concerns compelled its employes to sign the same contract, the form of which is identical. The inevitable strike followed and now the union seeks to prevent by earning a living in that part of the city which the company stakes out as its personal property."

WILL NOT BE BULLIED.

Cincinnati, April 16.—"The Cincinnati railroads will not be bullied or intimidated to be bulldozed and ruled by any industrial overlord of the Attorney General," declared the Railway Clerks.

"Railroad labor will not permit wages reduced below the level of men responsible for the financial condition of the roads.

"Neither will a more vicious and insidious attack made against the railroads in the form of propaganda that the railroads passed their transportation act they didn't and that they would have so much trouble juggling wages. Hampered by the law of their organization, they are having nothing undone to carry out their original program."

"LOYAL" TO WHOM?

Sacramento, Cal., April 16.—Opposition to the proposed eight-hour law for women employes was voiced by a delegation representing employes of the Los Angeles street car company. These non-unionists were announced as "loyal" employes.

In urging the passage of the bill, the senator read letters from street car men wherein they stated that they had been compelled to protest against the bill.

ASSAILS TAFT'S TAX VIEWS.

Washington, April 16.—Ex-President Taft does not understand the proposed sales tax, according to Furuseth, who is conferring in this city over the seamen's law, said.

The former chief executive advocates a tax on each purchase as a substitute for the excess profits tax.

"Mr. Taft's public statements," said the congressman, "show utter lack of understanding on the subject he is discussing. For nearly two months hearings have been held by the house committee on ways and means. One brief week was given to the turnovers sales tax people from New York, who have been urging that proposition before our committee, and it is significant that all other tax advocates now desire to force the passage of what has put on the people before it can be fully understood or discussed. Without exception they are demanding revenue first.

"Mr. Taft says the sales tax is easily collected." Treasury officials vigorously deny that statement, and point to the evasions of the luxury tax as evidence of actual experience.

"The ex-president makes the astonishing statement that 'neither history nor theory holds it impossible to be paying the tax.' Through what source he may have secured such a fanciful opinion before the world has been tried."

SALES TAX OPPOSED.

Philadelphia, April 16.—A 1 per cent sales tax would increase the cost of living, instead of reducing costs, and would also cause business confusion, declares Fayette R. Plumb, former president of the American Hardware Manufacturers' association, writing in a local newspaper.

The sales tax is advocated by industrial leaders who oppose the present excess profits tax.

"It is perfectly true," said Mr. Plumb, "that a man with an income of less than $1,000 per year would pay this tax at the same rate as the man with an income of $150,000 per year, is this a fair measure, however, of the ability of these men to pay taxes? The man with $1,500 spends all or nearly all his entire income for the necessities and moderate comforts of life. The man with an income of $150,000 per year may spend $50,000 at it. One man would be paying a tax on his entire income and the other on one-third of his income."

TOO MANY LIFE BOATS.

Detroit, Mich., April 16.—Opposition to the seamen's act was registered by a conference of business men from cities along the great lakes. The usual fire alarm resolution urged the congress to repeal the law instanter if the lake passenger business is to be saved from ruin and decay. One of the grievances is the cost in maintaining life boats. The law does not compel life-saving apparatus for every passenger who may be on the steamer, but it does the provisions as they exist are too expensive, saith these business men, in that they sacrifice a good deal of space for the necessities of legitimate comforts of life. The man with an income of $1,000 per year may spend $50,000 at it. One man would be paying a tax on his entire income and the other on one-third of his income."

TOO MANY LIFE BOATS.

Detroit, Mich., April 16.—Opposition to the seamen's act was registered by a conference of business men from cities along the great lakes. The usual fire alarm resolution urged the congress to repeal the law instanter if the lake passenger business is to be saved from ruin and decay. One of the grievances is the cost in maintaining life boats. The law does not compel life-saving apparatus for every passenger who may be on the steamer, but it does the provisions as they exist are too expensive, saith these business men, in that they sacrifice a good deal of space for the necessities and moderate comforts of life. The man with an income of $1,000 per year may spend $50,000 at it. One man would be paying a tax on his entire income and the other on one-third of his income."

WAGE CUTS NO SOLUTION.

Washington, April 16.—The best proof that the present existing policy of railroads has failed is a statement issued by the national association of owners and operators of railroads in which it is stated that wage reductions will not solve the railroad problem. This is the reason why the claims of the railroads, whose case was first demanded by the Cummins-Esch law, then the Warwick law, and now wage cuts.

The Cummins-Esch law, the Warwick law, and now wage cuts.

The Cummins-Esch law, the Warwick law, and now wage cuts.

The Cummins-Esch law,